

Syntheses and Evaluation of Naphthalenyl- and Chromenylpyrrolyl-benzoic Acids as Potent and Selective Retinoic Acid Receptor α Agonists

Shigeki Hibi,* Katsuya Tagami, Kouichi Kikuchi, Hiroyuki Yoshimura, Kenji Tai, Takayuki Hida, Naoki Tokuhara, Toshihiko Yamauchi and Mitsuo Nagai

Tsukuba Basic Research Laboratories, Eisai Co., Ltd., 1-3, Tokodai 5-chome, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki, 300-2635, Japan

Received 16 December 1999; accepted 20 January 2000

Abstract—Synthesis and structure–activity relationships (SAR) of RAR α -selective agonists are discussed. 4-[5-(5,8-Dimethyl-2*H*-3-chromenyl)-*1H*-2-pyrrolyl]benzoic acid (**12a**), which possesses a flat structural moiety and an oxygen atom at the hydrophobic part, showed highly selective transactivation activity at the RAR α receptor. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Retinoids, natural and synthetic analogues of all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA), have a variety of potent biological activities, including induction of cellular proliferation, differentiation and death, as well as developmental changes. There are three distinct receptor subtypes (RAR α , β and γ), which possess considerable homology in their ligand-binding domains. RARs elicit their gene transcriptional activity in the form of heterodimers with retinoid X receptors (RXRs). We have focused our attention on RAR α agonists, because selective RAR α agonists seem to be promising compounds for the treatment of dermatological diseases and immunological disorders, as described in the preceding paper.

In the course of our research directed towards RAR agonists and antagonists,⁴ we have introduced various novel moieties into the hydrophobic part of retinoids. We found that a naphthalenyl-triene derivative (1; ER-32906⁵) showed more selective binding affinity and transactivation activity for RAR α than a 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,1,4,4-tetramethylnaphthalenyl-triene derivative (2; Ro 13-6307⁶), which has a bulky moiety at the hydrophobic part (Chart 1, Table 1). Based on these results, we planned to introduce a flat moiety, such as naphthalene or benzopyran, into the hydrophobic part of ligands, with a 2,5-disubstituted pyrrole as the linker part. Such novel retinoids might be more selective for RAR α than compound 3 (ER-34617^{4d}), which has been

reported as an RARα-selective agonist (Chart 1). Here,

Chemistry

Naphthalenyl and benzopyranyl-pyrrolyl derivatives (11 and 12) were synthesized by the method of Scheme 1. The carbaldehydes (4 and 5), which were prepared by the reported method, 4b were treated with vinyl Grignard reagent and oxidized with manganese(IV) oxide (activated) to afford enone derivatives (6 and 7). The enone derivatives (6 and 7) were coupled with methyl 4-formylbenzoate (8) to give the diketones (9 and 10). These derivatives (9 and 10) were treated with ammonium acetate to afford the corresponding pyrrole derivatives, which were hydrolyzed to the flat moiety-pyrrole-benzoic acid derivatives (11 and 12).

Results and Discussion

The subtype-selective competitive binding and transactivation data are summarized in Table 1. The 5,8-dimethylnaphthalene derivative **11a** did not show affinity for RAR β or RAR γ , whereas it has moderate binding affinity for RAR α . This compound (**11a**) is 3-fold less potent at RAR α than ATRA, but was 250 and 2000-fold less potent at RAR β and RAR γ , respectively. The mono 8-methyl derivative (**11b**) is less potent than the

we discuss the syntheses and SAR of retinoids which possesses a flat moiety, such as naphthalene and benzopyran, at the hydrophobic part.

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-298-47-5863; fax: +81-298-47-2037; e-mail: s-hibi@hhc.eisai.co.jp

Chart 1.

Table 1. Competitive binding and transactivation data for retinoids

$$R^2$$
 R^3
 R^4
 R^4

					Binding affinity ^a Relative IC ₅₀ ^b			Subtype-specific transactivation ^e Relative EC ₃₀ ^f		
Compound	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^3	\mathbb{R}^4	RARα	RARβ	RARγ	RARα	RARβ	RARγ
11a 11b 11c 11d 11e 11f 12a 12b 1	Me Me H Me Ph i-Pr Me Me	H H Me Me H H H	H H H Me H H H	Me H Me Me H H Me H	24 15 c 1.4 <1.0 9.7 50 2.7	ccccccccc	ccccc 910ccc 227	2.8 49 90 	250 g g 880 78 240 1030 11	2200 g g g g g g g _
2	ا ح		J cod	DН	0.49	0.34	0.67	0.27	0.20	0.24
$ATRA^{\rm h}$	>		Lco	ОН	1.0 0.89 nM ^d	1.0 0.94 nM ^d	1.0 0.62 nM ^d	1.0 1.10 nM ^h	1.0 0.69 nM ^h	1.0 0.18 nM ^h

a Specific binding affinity was defined as the total binding minus the nonspecific binding, and the 50% inhibitory dose (IC_{50}) values were obtained from logarithmic plots. In some cases, Scatchard plot analysis was performed. The selectivity of test compounds for each receptor is indicated as relative IC_{50} , where the IC_{50} value for each receptor was divided by that of the natural ligand (ATRA).

5,8-dimethylnaphthalene derivative (11a) in transactivation activity for RAR α . The 5,7-dimethyl naphthalene derivative (11c) did not bind to any of the RARs, and showed 90-fold less RAR α -agonistic activity than ATRA. The 5,6,7,8-tetramethylnaphthalene derivative (11d) had no affinity or transactivation potency for RARs.

These results suggest that methyl group at positions 5 and 8 specifically enhance RAR α transactivation, while those at positions 6 and 7 are unfavorable. Although the

8-phenylnaphthalene derivative (11e) showed RAR α binding affinity comparable to that of ATRA, it did not bind to any other receptor, or activate RARs. The 8-isopropylnaphthalene derivative (11f) possessed stronger binding affinity than ATRA at RAR α , and did not show selectivity for RAR α transactivation.

We introduced an oxygen atom into the hydrophobic part of the retinoid structure to obtain more polar retinoids which might show improved pharmacokinetic characteristics. The benzopyran derivatives (12), which

^bIC₅₀/ATRA IC₅₀. ^c—: not detectable (relative IC₅₀ >1000).

dATRA IC50.

 $^{^{}c}EC_{30}$ values were determined from full dose–response curves ranging from 0.1 nM to 3.0 μM. Retinoid activity is expressed in terms of relative EC₃₀, which is the concentration of retinoid required to produce 30% of the maximal observed response, normalized relative to that of ATRA. $^{c}EC_{30}/ATRA$ EC₃₀.

g—: not detectable (relative $EC_{30} > 10,000$).

hATRA EC₃₀.

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) vinylmagnesium bromide, THF, rt, 1-2 h; (b) MnO₂, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 12 h; 13-53% (for a and b); (c) 3-benzyl-5-(2-hydroxyethyl)-4-methylthiazolium chloride, CH₃CO₂Na, EtOH, reflux, 1-2 h; 15-82%; (d) CH₃CO₂NH₄, MeOH, reflux, 3-5 h; 52-95%; (e-i) NaOH aq. EtOH, reflux, 1 h; (e-ii) dil. HCl; 68-95%.

have an oxygen-containing flat structural moiety, both showed significant selectivity in transactivation activity for RARα, through 12b is less potent than the dimethyl benzopyranyl derivative (12a).

HL-60 differentiation activity was measured for 11a–f and 12a,b, using CD11b as a marker of differentiation. Only the 8-isopropyl-naphthalenyl-pyrrole derivative (11f) showed moderate potency (100 nM; ED₃₀); the other compounds had no detectable activity. We also studied transactivation activity for RXRα, but these compounds (11a–f and 12a,b) did not show transactivation activity (data not shown).

In conclusion, a flat structural moiety, such as naphthalene and benzopyran, in the hydrophobic part of retinoids seems to be essential for selective RAR α transactivation activity. Methyl substituents at positions 5 and 8 are important for RAR α selectivity in the naphthalenyl-pyrrole derivatives (11) and the benzopyranyl-pyrrole derivatives (12). Furthermore, one of the naphthalenyl-pyrrole derivatives (11a; ER-35368) and the benzopyranyl-pyrrole derivatives (12a; ER-41666) possess significant selectivity for the RAR α receptor. These selective RAR α agonists are potential therapeutic agents for diseases where RAR α is pathogenically deactivated. 4-[5-(5,8-Dimethyl-2*H*-3-chromenyl)-1*H*-2-pyrrolyl]benzoic acid (12a) is a particularly

potent and effective $RAR\alpha$ agonist, and could be a lead compound for development of clinically useful $RAR\alpha$ agonists.

References and Notes

- 1. Mangelsdorf, D. J.; Umesono, K.; Evans, R. M. In *The Retinoids;* Sporn, M. B.; Roberts, A. B.; Goodman, D. S., Eds; Rayen Press; New York, 1994.
- 2. Leid, M.; Kastner, P.; Chambon, P. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* **1992**, *17*, 427 and references cited therein.
- 3. Kikuchi, K.; Hibi, S.; Yoshimura, H.; Tai, K.; Hida, T.; Tokuhara, N.; Ishibashi, A.; Yamauchi, T.; Nagai, M. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2000**, *10*, 619.
- 4. (a) Yoshimura, H.; Agai, M.; Hibi, S.; Kikuchi, K.; Abe, S.; Hida, T.; Higashi, S.; Hishinuma, I.; Yamanaka, T. *J. Med. Chem.* 1995, *38*, 3163. (b) Tagami, K.; Yoshimura, H.; Nagai, M.; Hibi, S.; Kikuchi, K.; Satoh, T.; Okita, M.; Okamoto, Y.; Nagasaka, Y.; Kobayashi, N.; Hida, T.; Tai. K.; Tokuhara, N.; Kobayashi, S. WO97/34869. (c) Hibi, S.; Kikuchi, K.; Yoshimura, H.; Nagai, M.; Tai, K.; Hida, T. *J. Med. Chem.* 1998, *41*, 3245. (d) Kikuchi, K.; Hibi, S.; Yoshimura, H.; Tokuhara, N.; Tai, K.; Hida, T.; Yamauchi, T.; Nagai, M. *J. Med. Chem.* 2000, *43*, 409.
- 5. Compound 1 (ER-32906) was synthesized by employing usual retinoid chemistry as reported for the synthesis of RXR agonists⁶ from **4a** (overall yield 33%).
- 6. Loeliger, P.; Bollag, W.; Mayer, H. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1980, 15, 9.